

Corrections and Notes for
CONVEX OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHMS

by Dimitri P. Bertsekas
Athena Scientific

Last Updated: 11/10/16

- p. 11 (+4) Change “ $x_2 \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ ” to “ $x_2 \in \mathfrak{R}^m$ ”
- p. 11 (-12) Change “at f at x ” to “of f at x ”
- p. 11 (-3) Change “ $z \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ ” to “ $z \in \mathfrak{R}^m$ ”
- p. 15 (-5) Change “ S ” to “ S^\perp ”
- p. 92 (+8) For clarity change
“More specifically, instead of the gradient sum

$$s_k = \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \nabla f_{i_{k-\ell}}(x_{k-\ell}),$$

in Eq. (2.35), these methods use

$$\tilde{s}_k = \nabla f_{i_k}(x_k) - \nabla f_{i_k}(\tilde{x}_k) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \nabla f_{i_{k-\ell}}(\tilde{x}_k),$$

where \tilde{x}_k is the most recent point where the full gradient has been calculated. To calculate \tilde{s}_k one only needs to compute the difference of the two gradients

$$\nabla f_{i_k}(x_k) - \nabla f_{i_k}(\tilde{x}_k)$$

and add it to the full gradient $\sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \nabla f_{i_{k-\ell}}(\tilde{x}_k)$.”
to

“For an example, instead of the gradient sum

$$s_k = \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \nabla f_{i_{k-\ell}}(x_{k-\ell}),$$

in Eq. (2.35), such a method may use \tilde{s}_k , updated according to

$$\tilde{s}_k = \nabla f_{i_k}(x_k) - \nabla f_{i_k}(\tilde{x}_k) + \tilde{s}_{k-1},$$

where \tilde{s}_0 is the full gradient computed at the start of the current cycle, and \tilde{x}_k is the point at which this full gradient has been calculated. Thus to obtain \tilde{s}_k one only needs to compute the difference of the two gradients

$$\nabla f_{i_k}(x_k) - \nabla f_{i_k}(\tilde{x}_k)$$

and add it to the current approximation of the full gradient \tilde{s}_{k-1} .”

p. 113 (-13) Change “Lagrangian” to “Lagrangian method”

p. 206 (+13) Change “ $\tilde{\lambda} \in \partial f(x_{\tilde{\lambda}})$ ” to “ $\tilde{\lambda} \in \partial f(x)$ ”

p. 255 (-5) Change “ $-\|\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2\|^2$ ” to “ $-\frac{1}{c}\|\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2\|^2$ ”

p. 283 (+15) Change “(5.83)” to “(5.87)”

p. 283 (+18) Change “ $Ax = z$ ” to “ $Ax + Bz = d$ ”

p. 284 (+2) Change “ λ_{k+1}^1 ” and “ λ_{k+1}^m ” to “ λ_k^1 ” and “ λ_k^m ”, respectively

p. 293 (+2) Change “Example 3.4” to “Section 3.4, Example 3.4”

p. 293 (+4) Change Eq. (5.107) and the following line to

$$\lambda_{k+1}^j = \lambda_k^j + \frac{c}{m_j} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m A_{ji} x_{k+1}^i - b^j \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, r, \quad (5.107)$$

where A_{ji} , b^j , and r are the components and row dimension of A_i and b , respectively, and m_j is the number of

p. 298 (+8) Change “ $z \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ ” to “ $z \in \mathfrak{R}^m$ ”

p. 299 (-5) Change “ $\lambda - cv$ ” to “ $\lambda + cv$ ”

p. 300 (-6) Change “ f_1 (or f_2 , respectively)” to “ f_2 (or f_1 , respectively)”

p. 308 (-9) Change “ $y \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ ” to “ $y \in X$ ”

p. 314 (+9 to +22) Replace the part of the proof starting just after the equation $\phi(x) = f(x) - \frac{\sigma}{2}\|x\|^2$. and ending just before the statement “Using the expression (6.25) ...” with the following:

We will show that $\nabla\phi$, which is given by

$$\nabla\phi(x) = \nabla f(x) - \sigma x, \quad (6.25)$$

is Lipschitz continuous with constant $L - \sigma$. To this end, based on the equivalence of statements (i) and (v) of Exercise 6.1, it is sufficient to show that

$$(\nabla\phi(x) - \nabla\phi(y))'(x - y) \leq (L - \sigma)\|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{R}^n,$$

or, using the expression (6.25) for $\nabla\phi$,

$$(\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y) - \sigma(x - y))'(x - y) \leq (L - \sigma)\|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{R}^n.$$

This relation is equivalently written as

$$(\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y))'(x - y) \leq L\|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{R}^n,$$

and is true by (iii) of part (a).

Having shown that $\nabla\phi$ is Lipschitz continuous with constant $L - \sigma$, we apply (ii) of part (a) to the function ϕ and obtain

$$(\nabla\phi(x) - \nabla\phi(y))'(x - y) \geq \frac{1}{L - \sigma} \|\nabla\phi(x) - \nabla\phi(y)\|^2.$$

p. 316 (-17) Change “ $\nabla f(\bar{x}) = 0$ ” to “the optimality condition $\nabla f(\bar{x})'(x - \bar{x}) \geq 0$, for all $x \in X$ ”

p. 318 (-13) Change “ β^m ” to “ $\sigma\beta^m$ ”

p. 323 (+7) Change “gradient [cf. Eq. (6.6)]” to “gradient within \mathfrak{R}^n ”

p. 323 (+12) Change “ $\beta_k \in (0, 1)$ ” to “ $\beta_k \in [0, 1)$ ”

p. 323 (-11) Change “ $\theta_0 = \theta_1 \in (0, 1]$ ” to “ $\theta_{-1} = \theta_0 = 1$ ”

p. 323 (-10) In Eq. (6.33) add the additional condition $\theta_k \leq 2/(k + 2)$, which is used in the proof of Prop. 6.2.1 [p. 326, (-16)]. So Eq. (6.33) should now read

$$\frac{1 - \theta_{k+1}}{\theta_{k+1}^2} \leq \frac{1}{\theta_k^2}, \quad \theta_k \leq \frac{2}{k + 2}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (6.33)$$

p. 323 (-1) Change “ $x_{k+1} = P_X(x_k - \alpha\nabla f(x_k))$,” to “ $x_{k+1} = P_X(y_k - \alpha\nabla f(y_k))$,”

p. 324 (-8) Change “ ∇f satisfies the Lipschitz condition (6.6)” to “ ∇f is Lipschitz continuous within \mathfrak{R}^n ,”

p. 336 (-16) Change “ $x \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ ” to “ $x \in \mathfrak{R}^m$ ”

p. 383 (+10) Change “whew” to “where”

p. 428 (-19) Change “3.3” to “Section 3.3”

p. 437 (+13) Change “Note:” to “Notes: If Eq. (6.238) holds with $\gamma = 1$ it holds for all $\gamma \in (1, 2)$, so this exercise shows arbitrarily fast superlinear convergence for the case of a sharp minimum, even when X^* contains multiple points (cf. Exercise 6.4).”

p. 461 (+7) Change “ $\beta_k \geq 0, \gamma_k > 0$ ” to “ $0 \leq \beta_k, 0 < \gamma_k \leq 1$ ”

p. 471 (-12) Change “ $\text{dom}(f)$ ” to “ \mathfrak{R}^n ”

p. 485 (-7,-8) Erase these two lines

p. 488 (+8) Change “By the Conjugacy Theorem [Prop. 1.6.1(c)] C^* is equal to $\text{cl } \delta_C$. Thus the polar cone of C^* is $\text{cl}(C)$.” to “By the Conjugacy Theorem [Prop. 1.6.1(d)], the polar cone of C^* is $\text{cl}(C)$.”

p. 511 (+3) Change “ $z \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ ” to “ $z \in \mathfrak{R}^m$ ”